



# REPRESSION RESILIENCE WORKSHOP

Zionists vs. You

# Repression Resilience



Repression is the collection of methods the State and other reactionary forces use to fight against change.

This can look like:

- **Violence**
- **Surveillance/Disruption**
- **Media/Social Media**
- **Legal**

**Examples:**

- 646 highway arrests
- UMN Cunningham Halimy Hall encampment



**Repression Resilience** means building a life and practice that doesn't just avoid repression (an impossibility for effective movements) but acknowledges it as a reality of struggle and works to minimize its ability to undermine your goals.

Building repression resilience can look like:

**Build community power.** The greatest antidote for repression is more organizing.

- Broad collaborations
- Solidarity and support for other organizations
- Pro-social intra-movement conflict engagement

# Revolutionary adaptability

- The more outcomes you are trying to control the more vulnerabilities you have.
  - How can you build flexibility into your life plans around job, family, housing, finances, etc.?
  - For things you decide you must protect or control, engage in rigorous threat modeling.

# Minimizing exposure

- **Security culture/protocol:** Don't brag or boast about activity that is unlawful or speculate as to the illegal activity of others. Engage in risk proportional to relationship.
- **CAUTION: beware of de-organizing tendencies.** Being secretive is not always the best way to minimize exposure and can undermine other strategies for building resilience
- **Choosing tactics with less exposure** that still fit your strategy and goals
- **Know your rights** so that when you are targeted you can best protect yourself
  - Casual Conversation
    - *Am I free to go*
  - Detention
    - *I'm going to remain silent, I want to speak to an attorney*
    - *I don't consent to a search*
  - Arrest


We are providing these workshops to help shine a light on what repressive tactics we see being used against Palestine Solidarity activists - not to de-mobilize, but to help you focus.

Stay strong and bold and keep yourself, your comrades, and our shared movements safe and successful.



# Tactic: Civil Suits

Zionists are trying to draw activists in to undermine organizing



Three Key Areas of Federal Law weaponized by Zionists in Civil Suits:

*1. Anti-Terrorism Act*

*2. SLAPP*

*3. RICO*

# Anti-Terrorism Act

- Under §2333 of the Anti-Terrorism Act, a defendant can face **treble (triple) damages in a civil lawsuit** if found liable for an act of “international terrorism”

- 18 U.S. Code §2333

(a) Action and Jurisdiction.— Any national of the United States injured in his or her person, property, or business by reason of an act of **international terrorism**, or his or her estate, survivors, or heirs, may sue therefor in any appropriate district court of the United States and shall recover **threefold the damages** he or she sustains and the cost of the suit, including attorney’s fees.



# “International Terror” Definition

(1) the term “international terrorism” means activities that—

(A) involve **violent acts or acts dangerous to human life** that are **a violation of the criminal laws** of the United States or of any State, or that would be a criminal violation if committed within the jurisdiction of the United States or of any State;

(B) appear to be intended—

(i) to **intimidate or coerce** a civilian population;

(ii) to **influence** the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or

(iii) to **affect** the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination, or kidnapping; and

(C) occur primarily **outside the territorial jurisdiction of the United States**, or transcend national boundaries in terms of the means by which they are accomplished, the persons they appear intended to intimidate or coerce, or the locale in which their perpetrators operate or seek asylum.

# What Constitutes “International Terrorism?”

- Broad range of activities
- Material Support Statutes (18 U.S.C. §§2339A and B)
  - *Outlaws providing material support for committing certain **designated offenses** that might be committed by terrorists (§2339A)*
  - *Outlaws providing material support to certain **designated terrorist organizations** (§2339B)*
- If someone found to have provided material support, they can also be subject to treble [triple] damages in a civil lawsuit

# Case Examples

## *Weiss v. National Westminster Bank, PLC (2021)*

- Conduct that violates a material support statute can also satisfy the requirements of international terrorism law in some circumstances.

## *Crosby v. Twitter, Inc. (2019)*

- It is a crime to provide material support to a foreign terrorist organization. And if that material support also qualifies as an act of ‘international terrorism’ under 18 U.S.C., a plaintiff can recover for injuries that occurred ‘by reason of’ the defendant’s conduct.

## *Boim v. Quranic Literacy Institution (2002)*

- Congress has made clear, through the criminal liability imposed in the material support section, that even small donations made knowingly and intentionally in support of terrorism may meet the standard for civil liability in the Anti-Terrorism Act.

# Anti-Terrorism Act 2016 Amendment



Anti-Terrorism Act was amended in 2016 to further expand its reach, with the explicit purpose of providing the “**broadest possible basis**” to bring civil lawsuits against those who allegedly provided material support to “terrorist activities”

(b) Purpose.—

The purpose of this Act [enacting section 1605B of Title 28, Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, amending this section and section 1605 of Title 28, and enacting provisions set out as notes under this section, section 1 of this title, and section 1605B of Title 28] is to provide civil litigants with the **broadest possible basis**, consistent with the Constitution of the United States, to seek relief against persons, entities, and foreign countries, wherever acting and wherever they may be found, that **have provided material support, directly or indirectly, to foreign organizations or persons that engage in terrorist activities against the United States.**

# Aiding & Abetting

As a result, under §2333(d)(2) of the Anti-Terrorism Act, a defendant can face treble (triple) damages liability for **aiding and abetting** “an act of international terrorism”

if the defendant “knowingly provid[ed] substantial assistance” to “such an act of international terrorism” committed by a designated Foreign Terrorist Organization

(e.g. Hamas, Hezbollah, PFLP).

# Aiding & Abetting: “Secondary Liability”

“Secondary liability” has been used to continue lawsuits against defendants when the idea of primary liability has been rejected by the court, even when the defendant was not providing assistance to an actual FTO.


Case Examples:

*Kaplan v. Lebanese Canadian Bank, SAL*, (2d Cir. 2021)

*Atchley v. AstraZeneca UK Ltd.*, (D.C. Cir. 2022).



# Case Study: Parizer v. AMP and SJP



The image shows a screenshot of an Al Jazeera news article. At the top left is the Al Jazeera logo, followed by the word "ALJAZEERA" in orange. To the right are navigation links: "News", "Middle East", "Explained", "Opinion", "Sport", "Video", and "More". Below this is a breadcrumb trail: "Israel-Palestine conflict > Live updates 'Palestine is not for sale' World reacts to Trump's". The main headline reads: "October 7 survivors are suing pro-Palestinian groups. But what is the aim?". Below the headline is a sub-headline in italics: "Experts fear lawsuits against pro-Palestine student groups could stifle free speech and deplete resources for activism."

ALJAZEERA News Middle East Explained Opinion Sport Video More

Israel-Palestine conflict > Live updates 'Palestine is not for sale' World reacts to Trump's

News | Israel-Palestine conflict

**October 7 survivors are suing pro-Palestinian groups. But what is the aim?**

*Experts fear lawsuits against pro-Palestine student groups could stifle free speech and deplete resources for activism.*

2024 lawsuit against American Muslims for Palestine and Students for Justice in Palestine under Anti-Terrorism Act.

Lawsuit alleges that AMP and SJP “provide ongoing, continuous, systematic, and material support for Hamas and its affiliates . . . by operating and managing Hamas’s mouthpiece for North America.”

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

MAYA PARIZER, ADIN GESS, NOACH )  
NEWMAN, NATALIE SANANDAJI, YONI )  
DILLER, DAVID BROMBERG, LIOR )  
BAR OR, ARIEL EIN-GAL, and HAGAR )  
ALMOG, )

Plaintiffs, )

v. )

Civil No. 1:24-cv-00724-RDA-IDD

AJP EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, )  
INC. a/k/a AMERICAN MUSLIMS FOR )  
PALESTINE, NATIONAL STUDENTS )  
FOR JUSTICE IN PALESTINE, WESPAC )  
FOUNDATION, HATEM BAZIAN, OSAMA )  
ABUIRSHAD, TAHER HERZALLAH, and )  
ZAREFAH BAROUD, )

Defendants. )

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT  
FOR DAMAGES AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

i. *Defendants' Violence and Terror on American College Campuses*


89. The NSJP Toolkit is not a mere rhetorical tool. It is an action-oriented instruction manual created by NSJP, with Hamas's instructions and mission, AMP's control and assistance, and WESPAC's funding.

90. The NSJP Toolkit directed Defendants' members and allies "to engage in meaningful actions that go beyond symbolism and rhetoric" to include all potential forms of resistance, including "armed struggle" and violence.

91. Hamas itself echoed those same calls. For example, on December 5, 2023, in an interview on Al-Aqsa TV (Hamas-Gaza), a senior Hamas official, Sami Abu Zahri, called on Hamas's allies in the United States to engage in domestic terrorism to support Hamas's terrorist activities.<sup>77</sup>

92. Defendants' encouragement of its members, affiliates, and allies to join the "resistance"—again, a euphemism for Hamas itself—is not mere speech or advocacy. Rather, Defendants encouraged their members to exert political pressure on American institutions and politicians, in service of Hamas's goals. The chaotic images emerging from American campuses are the intended result of Defendants' endeavors.

93. *In short, Defendants fund and act as Hamas's public relations division, recruiting domestic foot soldiers to disseminate Hamas's propaganda and to incite and engage in violence directed at creating chaos and fear across the United States, intimidating American citizens and policymakers, and forcing American policy to shift in Hamas's favor. These activities are instrumental to Hamas's short and long-term goals and help Hamas continue its ongoing international terrorist activities with less resistance.*<sup>78</sup>





*The flag of Hezbollah is featured alongside the flag of Hamas's al-Qassam Brigades outside the exhibit memorializing the Nova Festival victims.<sup>123</sup>*



*Smoke bombs are lit outside the exhibit memorializing the Nova Festival victims.<sup>124</sup>*

# PROTEST FLAGS CITED IN LAWSUIT

# Campus Protests Cited in Lawsuit

119. The Encampment—organized, funded, and managed by Defendants—was knowingly and expressly intended to support Hamas.

120. Chants at the Encampment included:

- a. “Al-Qassam, you make us proud!”
- b. “We say justice, you say how? Burn Tel Aviv to the ground!”
- c. “Hamas, we love you. We support your rockets, too!”
- d. “Red, black, green, and white, we support Hamas’ fight!”
- e. “A right to rebel, Al-Qassam, give them hell!”
- f. “It is right to rebel, Hamas give them hell!”



*Osama Abuirshaid*

# Peoples' Conference for Palestine Cited in Lawsuit

## ***H. DEFENDANT NSJP SERVED AS A “CONVENING ORGANIZATION/STEERING COMMITTEE” MEMBER FOR A LARGE-SCALE PRO-TERRORISM CONFERENCE.***

134. From May 24 to 26, 2024, NSJP served as one of the “convening organizations” or “steering committee” members for the People’s Conference for Palestine (the “**Conference**”), a Hamas propaganda and recruiting festival.

**COUNT I**  
**VIOLATION OF THE ANTI-TERRORISM ACT, 18 U.S.C. § 2333(d)**  
**(Aiding and Abetting Liability)**

- Count 1 alleges (among many other things)
  - AMP and SJP have provided substantial assistance to Hamas for decades, fomenting violence and chaos, recruiting domestic foot soldiers for Hamas, etc.
  - WESPAC, AMP, and NSJP have been inciting riots and domestic terrorism as part of its substantial assistance to Hamas
  - These organizations have furthered propaganda for Hamas across the U.S.
  - Their support has been so systematic that their actions have aided and abetted every wrongful act committed by Hamas and its affiliates
  - These defendants have aided and abetted Hamas' ongoing rocket attacks and keeping of hostages
  - They are liable for threefold damages to U.S. plaintiffs as well as the costs and attorney fees of this lawsuit

# SLAPP: “Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation”

Zionists have long used civil litigation as a tactic against constitutionally protected speech, such as advocating for boycotting Israel and ending the apartheid regime



# What is a SLAPP?

- Civil complaints (or counterclaims) in which the alleged injury was the result of petitioning or free speech activities protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and by state law.
- While many cases that qualify as SLAPPs are not legally legitimate, they can effectively achieve their principal purpose: to chill (discourage) public debate on specific issues.
- Defending against a SLAPP requires substantial money, time, and legal resources – can divert attention away from the issue and intimidate and silence others.

## **SLAPP [ slapp ]**

*noun*

Also called SLAPP suit.

a civil lawsuit brought as an intimidation measure against non-government individuals or organisations.



# SLAPPS IN THE PALESTINE SOLIDARITY MOVEMENT

# Constitutional Rights Attorneys Urge Court to Dismiss Lawsuit Filed Over Boycott of Israeli Goods



- 2011 lawsuit against a Co-op in Washington state for their decision to boycott Israeli goods.
- Lawsuit alleged procedural violations in the Board's decision-making process, despite overwhelming evidence that the Board followed its procedures and was within its powers to pass the boycott resolution.

## Judge Dismisses Lawsuit Filed Over Boycott of Israeli Goods

Court Finds Suit Is Effort to Chill Boycotters' Public Statements On Issue of Public Concern



# Increased SLAPP suits since 2023

- September 2024 - the Hamilton Lincoln Law Institute filed a suit against numerous groups (including Students for Justice in Palestine, US Campaign for Palestinian Rights, American Muslims for Palestine, and Jewish Voice for Peace) claiming that damages stemmed from the blockade of traffic at O'Hare International Airport.
- Last week, the judge dismissed the case against USCPR and AMP, specifically.

**Federal Judge Permanently Dismisses Two Palestinian Rights Orgs from Frivolous Chicago O'Hare Protest SLAPP Suit**



**US CAMPAIGN FOR  
PALESTINIAN RIGHTS**

# Federal Judge Permanently Dismisses Two Palestinian Rights Orgs from Frivolous Chicago O'Hare Protest SLAPP Suit



**US CAMPAIGN FOR  
PALESTINIAN RIGHTS**

“Manhart v. AJP Educational Foundation, et al.” lawsuit is a **classic SLAPP**.

“Millions of Americans have been rising up in the streets for nearly 500 days because our tax dollars are directly funding this genocide... This lawsuit is nothing more than a **shameful attempt to harass and intimidate us**. It’s an abuse of the legal system and a waste of the federal court’s time. We won’t be silenced, and we demand that the First Amendment rights of all protesters be protected.”

-Ahmad Abuznaid, Executive Director of the US Campaign for Palestinian Rights.

# HLLI Sues Jewish Voice for Peace and Other Anti-Israel Activists Over Traffic Blockades in Washington, D.C.

🕒 January 31, 2025   👤 admin   📁 Press Releases

- Days later, Hamilton Lincoln Law Institute then filed another lawsuit, making similar allegations with respect to a blockade of intersections leading into Washington, D.C.
- *This flurry of lawsuits epitomizes SLAPP litigation—baseless lawsuits filed in a targeted effort to frustrate and burden movements*

# RICO: RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS

Invoking the RICO Act is a third tactic that has historically been weaponized by the far-right against protest movements, including against Cop City activists in 2023.

# What is RICO?



A federal law that provides for extended criminal penalties and a ***civil cause of action*** for acts performed as part of an ongoing criminal enterprise.

Congress enacted the RICO in 1970 in an attempt to combat organized crime in the United States.

- RICO can theoretically be applied to “international terrorism.”
- Conservative think tank, Middle East Forum has published multiple statements calling for weaponizing the RICO Act against the Palestine Solidarity Movement.

## Fordham Law Review

---

Volume 58 | Issue 5

Article 8

---

1990

### The Application of RICO to International Terrorism

Zvi Joseph



### Strategies and Consequences to Applying RICO Statutes to SJP

May 2, 2024 • Gregg Roman • The Middle East Forum Observer

## The Case for a Class Action RICO Lawsuit Against SJP to Combat Campus Antisemitism

April 29, 2024 • Gregg Roman • The Middle East Forum Observer



- MEF specifically calls for a **RICO class action against SJP** and proposes a “model lawsuit” which “catalogs over 100 specific incidents involving SJP”
- MEF claims that SJP engages in “racketeering activity”
  - *“In the context of SJP, applying RICO is appropriate due to the **alleged coordinated nature of their activities across state lines**, which affects a national population of students...*
  - *... SJP’s repeated engagements in tactics that intimidate, harass, and silence Jewish students and supporters of Israel fit the legal definition of racketeering activity.”*

# Middle East Foundation and RICO

MEF Admits that the purpose behind a RICO lawsuit would be both financial and reputational harm (*queue SLAPP*).

*“Individual members of SJP could face severe consequences if found liable under a RICO-based lawsuit. These include significant financial penalties like triple damages and legal costs, injunctive relief that could restrict their activities or dissolve SJP chapters, personal civil liability for damages caused, and potential criminal implications if overlapping criminal behaviors are identified. Additionally, they could suffer reputational damage and educational repercussions such as disciplinary actions from their institutions. Collectively, these consequences would not only penalize the involved individuals, but also serve as a strong deterrent against similar future misconduct, aiming to restore a safe and respectful environment on campuses.”*

'YOU CAN SLANDER ISRAEL, JUST NOT USING MISAPPROPRIATED MONEY'

# US attorney uses 'lawfare' to force \$700K settlement from Jewish Voice for Peace

David Abrams makes a living off hunting alleged fraud by groups critical of Israel; in JVP's case, the group allegedly misrepresented itself when applying for COVID relief funds

By [ASAF ELIA-SHALEV](#)

20 January 2025, 1:08 am

**Takeaway: The movement should fight through litigation, when possible, to avoid being constant targets of a wide range of legal tactics.**

# TACTIC: JOB LOSS AND HOW TO COMBAT IT

Zionists have targeted employees in both the public and private sector for their stances on Palestine.

# Case Study: University of Minnesota





# Keys to Responding

1. Be quick to respond
2. Organized pushback can help
3. Legal tools are limited in employment cases

*Public Employment:*  
Employees of public institutions can invoke their First Amendment Rights.

PICKERING *v.* BOARD OF EDUCATION OF  
TOWNSHIP HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT 205,  
WILL COUNTY.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS.

No. 510. Argued March 27, 1968.—Decided June 3, 1968.

- Facts: School board officials terminated high school teacher Marvin Pickering for writing a letter to the *Lockport Herald* critical of the school board's allocation of funds.
- Takeaway: Pickering had **First Amendment rights**, and they were violated because the school board had not produced evidence that Pickering had knowingly or recklessly made false statements in his letter.
- Legacy: The case has set precedent for public employees in free speech cases.

## RANKIN ET AL. *v.* MCPHERSON

CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR  
THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 85-2068. Argued March 23, 1987-Decided June 24, 1987

- Facts: After hearing on the office radio that there had been an attempt to assassinate President Ronald Reagan, McPherson, who thought she was alone with one other office worker, stated "if they go for him again, I hope they get him." Another co-worker overheard the comment and reported it. McPherson was terminated.
- Takeaway: In a 5-to-4 decision, the Supreme Court held that Rankin's interest in discharging McPherson was outweighed by her **rights under the First Amendment**. The Court held that McPherson's statement, when considered in context, "plainly dealt with a matter of public concern." Court found that there was no evidence that McPherson's speech interfered with "the efficient functioning of the office" and that her private comment had not discredited the office.
- Legacy: **First Amendment rights extend to public employees and "the inappropriate or controversial character of a statement is irrelevant to the question whether it deals with a matter of public concern."**

# Private Employment

- *Caution advised:* Private employees do not have the same first amendment protections.
- However, for some employees, there is potential to raise legal measures to combat **targeted discrimination**.
- There are also some additional protections for **union members**. (Must be terminated for cause.)



# ORGANIZING IS THE ANTIDOTE!

**LEGAL RESOURCES FOR  
ACTIVISTS ADVOCATING  
FOR PALESTINE ACROSS  
THE U.S.**



*We've got your back.*

**PALESTINE  
LEGAL**

**HOW TO  
RESPOND TO  
ISLAMOPHOBIC,  
ANTI-PALESTINIAN  
RHETORIC  
AT WORK**

**SEE OUR  
NEW GUIDANCE  
FOR EMPLOYEES**

 **CAIR**


# TACTIC: DOXXING & TRUMP'S EXECUTIVE ORDERS


Zionists have long used doxxing as a tactic to target the  
Palestinian solidarity movement.

# Canary Mission: Doxxing Site

## Canary Mission



<b>Type of site</b>	Database of student activists, professors and organizations the site considers to be <a href="#">anti-Israel</a> or <a href="#">antisemitic</a>
<b>Available in</b>	English
<b>URL</b>	<a href="https://canarymission.org/">https://canarymission.org/</a> 
<b>Commercial</b>	No
<b>Launched</b>	2014; 11 years ago
<b>Current status</b>	Active



# US Jewish group targets anti-Israel protesters in hopes Trump will deport them

Right-wing Betar movement uses artificial intelligence tool to identify foreign students involved in anti-Israel action on college campuses

By ZEV STUB

2 December 2024, 6:42 pm



The Betar movement using AI software to identify international students on U.S. college campuses who are involved in Pro-Palestine advocacy.

This has been referred to as the “Operation Wrath of Zion.”

## **"Operation Wrath of Zion" Aims to Dox and Deport Pro-Palestinian Protestors in New York City**

Steered by a "right-wing Zionist" organization, the campaign is using facial-recognition technology to intimidate and out activists demonstrating against Israel



JACK POULSON

JAN 30, 2025

Donald Trump signed an executive order to cancel the visas of college students who protest in support of Palestine, opening the path to their deportation.

A small company called Stellar Defense & Cyber Intelligence is ready to help by deploying AI technology to identify anonymous, masked pro-Palestinian protestors, through a campaign it refers to as "Operation Wrath of Zion."

Stellar makes NesherAI, a bespoke facial-recognition. "Nesher" is Hebrew for "eagle." Their slogan on social media is, "The Eagle listens, The Eagle watches, The Eagle knows."

# Trump threat to deport pro-Palestinian students mirrors rightwing Heritage blueprint

New fact sheet bears similarity to Heritage Foundation's Project Esther, which aims to quash US support of Palestine



PRESIDENTIAL ACTIONS

# PROTECTING THE UNITED STATES FROM FOREIGN TERRORISTS AND OTHER NATIONAL SECURITY AND PUBLIC SAFETY THREATS

EXECUTIVE ORDER

January 20, 2025



PRESIDENTIAL ACTIONS

# ADDITIONAL MEASURES TO COMBAT ANTI-SEMITISM

EXECUTIVE ORDER

January 29, 2025



FACT SHEETS

FACT SHEET: PRESIDENT  
DONALD J. TRUMP TAKES  
FORCEFUL AND  
UNPRECEDENTED STEPS TO  
COMBAT ANTI-SEMITISM

January 30, 2025

# Trump's Executive Orders

- Policies could potentially authorize the Department of Homeland Security to **deport foreign nationals, including students, who express support for groups designated as terrorist organizations by the U.S. government.**
- This is explicitly called for in the January 30th Fact Sheet:

*Deport Hamas Sympathizers and Revoke Student Visas: “To all the resident aliens who joined in the pro-jihadist protests, we put you on notice: come 2025, we will find you, and we will deport you. I will also quickly cancel the student visas of all Hamas sympathizers on college campuses, which have been infested with radicalism like never before.”*

# First Amendment Protections

- International students are protected by the First Amendment, but this does not guarantee protection from deportation or visa revocation.
- "These students that are on F-1 visas have First Amendment free speech rights. You don't get an F-1 visa based on your political views. It is for you to go to college and get an education." – *Jeff Wozniak, attorney with the National Lawyers Guild*
- The First Amendment "refers to 'the right of the people peaceably to assemble,' and draws no distinctions based on a person's status as an immigrant. Those engaged in peaceful assembly, protest, or expression should not have their student visas revoked as a way to punish ideas disfavored by the federal government." – *Elora Mukherjee, law professor and director of the Immigrants' Rights Clinic at Columbia Law School*

Thank you for  
attending!  
Let's organize!

Next Workshops

- **February 20: *University vs. You*** – how universities use “code of conduct” processes, rules, etc. against our movements
- **March 6: *ICE vs. You*** – how federal immigration authorities use immigration law and policy against our movements
- **ZOOM Legal Observer Training:**  
Feb. 18 5:30-7:15 pm (contact NLG to sign up)



Contact Info: [nlginmn@gmail.com](mailto:nlginmn@gmail.com)